

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/629,466	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PALANI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Celia Chang	<b>Art Unit</b> 1625

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) *Celia Chang*. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) *Krishna G. Banejee*. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 March 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The scope commensurate with the unexpected result should be incorporated in the claims. An examiner's amendment limiting R2 to benzyl and 4-fluorobenzyl for which antecedent basis was found in example 2, was authorized.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Celia Chang/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)